

# ASSEMBLY

5 December 2012

<b>Title: Update on Sutton Road Controlled Parking Zone</b>	
<b>Report of: Divisional Director of Environment</b>	
<b>Open</b>	<b>For Information</b>
<b>Wards Affected:</b> Gascoigne	<b>Key Decision:</b> Yes
<b>Report Author:</b> Robin Payne, Divisional Director of Environmental Services	<b>Contact Details:</b> Tel: 020 8227 5772 E-mail: robin.payne@lbbd.gov.uk
<b>Accountable Divisional Director:</b> Robin Payne Divisional Director of Environmental Services	
<b>Accountable Director:</b> Darren Henaghan Corporate Director of Housing and Environment	
<b>Summary:</b>  On 10 October 2012 Assembly considered a petition of over 100 signatures from Mrs L Bowden that asked Members to withdraw a controlled parking zone for Sutton Road. Assembly was advised that Sutton Road was part of a wider zone that had been implemented on 1 August 2012.  The Assembly agreed (minute 35) to <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• support the petition;</li><li>• support the suspension of the TMO; and</li><li>• support proposals for the re-consultation of controlled parking in Sutton Road and surrounding roads.</li></ul> This report confirms actions taken by officers to notify all residents affected of the outcomes of this decision and interim arrangements affecting the operation of the whole controlled parking zone (CPZ).	
<b>Recommendation(s)</b> The Assembly is asked to note the arrangements being put in place to suspend the CPZ in Sutton Road, and to consult on a CPZ for Sutton Road and the surrounding roads not currently in the Traffic Management Order.	
<b>Reason(s)</b> To ensure that actions taken are in accordance with the Assembly's wishes.	

## 1. Introduction and Background

- 1.1. The decision by the Assembly to suspend the Traffic Management Order (TMO) did not specifically reflect that Sutton Road was only one road in a zone. When consulted, all roads apart from Sutton Road voted strongly in favour of a CPZ, and the parts of Sutton Road included in the CPZ voted marginally against the introduction of controlled parking (51% against).

- 1.2. As a result of the decision to suspend the TMO, all effective operation of the CPZ ceased, with no permits being sold and no enforcement of permit display.
- 1.3. In consultation with the Cabinet Member for Crime, Justice and Communities, and Cllr Twomey, Ward Member, it has been agreed to write to all residents affected to confirm the following.
  - The outcome of the Assembly on 10 October 2012
  - The re-implementation of the CPZ in Movers Lane, St John's Road and Tom Mann Close with no proposal for re-consultation.
  - That we will continue with suspension of the CPZ in Sutton Road and offer refunds to residents who have purchased permits. These will reflect the outstanding value of permits.
  - That we will consult Alfred Gardens, Felton Gardens, Felton Road, Saxham Road, Sutton Gardens, Sutton Green and Sutton Road on the introduction of a CPZ for the area. This will reflect an extension of the existing scheme for the time and days in place, that is Monday to Friday 8:30am to 9:00pm.
- 1.4. It is expected that this consultation will start before the end of January 2013.

## **2. Financial Implications**

Implications completed by: Jahangir Mannan Group Accountant  
020 8227 2158 [jahangir.mannan@lbbd.gov.uk](mailto:jahangir.mannan@lbbd.gov.uk)

- 2.1 The service will consult with areas surrounding Sutton Road. The cost of the consultation will have to be contained within the existing budgets.
- 2.2 The outcome of the consultation will determine if the CPZ area can be extended to new roads, and therefore, potentially generate more income.
- 2.3 The average cost of permits to be refunded for Sutton Road is £50.47, but the overall impact is thought not to be significant as the number of initial take ups was minimal.
- 2.4 There will be an ongoing loss of permit income whilst the TMO is in suspension.

## **3. Legal Implications**

Implications completed by: Paul Feild Senior Lawyer  
020 8227 3133 [paul.feild@lbbd.gov.uk](mailto:paul.feild@lbbd.gov.uk)

- 3.1 There are no legal implications from the action reported. Due process of a fresh consultation exercise will commence shortly in accordance with the statutory framework.